## SD vs. SD $^{+}$

Remember that we use sample statistics to estimate the corresponding population parameters.

- The mean of a 'representative' sample is a reliable estimate of the mean of the population from which the sample is drawn...
- ... but the SD of a 'representative' sample tends to underestimate the SD of the population from which it was drawn.
- To correct for this, statisticians use the $\mathrm{SD}^{+}$of the sample to estimate the SD of the population. If $n$ is the sample size, then

$$
S D^{+}=\sqrt{\frac{n}{n-1}} \cdot S D=\sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(x_{j}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}
$$

- If $n$ is large, then there is no significant difference between the values of SD and $\mathrm{SD}^{+}$because $\sqrt{n /(n-1)} \approx 1$ when $n$ is large.
- The $\mathrm{SD}^{+}$is usually called the sample standard deviation.

Technical observation: The mean and the standard deviation are both sensitive to changes of scale (in slightly different ways).

Given a set of data, $\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right\}$, if we rescale this data, by setting $u_{j}=a y_{j}+b$ for example, for each $j=1 \ldots n$ (and some constants $a$ and b) then

$$
\bar{u}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} u_{j}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(a y_{j}+b\right)=a\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} y_{j}\right)+\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} b=a \bar{y}+b
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
S D_{u} & =\sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(u_{j}-\bar{u}\right)^{2}}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\left(a y_{j}+\not b\right)-(a \bar{y}+\not b)\right)^{2}} \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a^{2}\left(y_{j}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}}=\sqrt{a^{2} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(y_{j}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}}=|a| \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(y_{j}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}} \\
& =|a| S D_{y}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example:

The average January temperature in Podunk is $\bar{F}=45^{\circ}$ Fahrenheit, with a standard deviation of $S D_{F}=2^{\circ}$ Fahrenheit.
To convert the temperature data to degrees Celsius we rescale

$$
C_{j}=\frac{5}{9}\left(F_{j}-32\right)=\frac{5}{9} F_{j}-\frac{160}{9} .
$$

So, on the Celsius scale, the January temperatures in Podunk have average

$$
\bar{C}=\frac{5}{9} \bar{F}-\frac{160}{9}=7.22^{\circ} \text { Celsius }
$$

and standard deviation

$$
S D_{C}=\frac{5}{9} S D_{F}=1.11^{\circ} \text { Celsius. }
$$

Question: Where does most of the data lie?
A general answer is easiest to give in terms of the average of the data and its standard deviation:

In any data set, the proportion of the data that lies more than $k S D$ s from the mean is always less than $1 / k^{2}$.
$\Rightarrow$ This is called Chebyshev's inequality.
E.g., less than $1 / 4=25 \%$ of the values in any data set lie more than 2 SDs away from the average value. Less than $1 / 9 \approx 11.11 \%$ of the data lie more than 3 SDs away from average, and so on.

Conversely, in any data set, more than $\mathbf{7 5 \%}$ of the data lie within 2 SDs of the mean, and more than $\mathbf{8 8 . 8 8 \%}$ of the data lie within 3 SDs of the mean.
$\Rightarrow$ In any data set, most of the data lies within 2-3 standard deviations away from average.

For certain types of data sets, even more of the data lies within two (or three) SDs of the average.

Example (from the book): $\bar{h}=63.5$ inches and $S D_{h} \approx 3$ inches...

Figure 8. The SD and the histogram. Heights of 2,696 women age 18 and over in HANES5. The average of 63.5 inches is marked by a vertical line. The region within one SD of the average is shaded: $72 \%$ of the women differed from average by one SD (3 inches) or less.


Example (continued): $\bar{h}=63.5$ inches and $S D_{h} \approx 3$ inches...

Figure 9. The SD and the histogram. Heights of 2,696 women age 18 and over in HANES5. The average of 63.5 inches is marked by a vertical line. The region within two SDs of the average is shaded: $97 \%$ of the women differed from average by two SDs (6 inches) or less.


To better understand clustering around the mean, we introduce

## Standard units

If $x_{j}$ comes from data with average $\bar{x}$ and standard deviation $S D_{x}$, we convert $x_{j}$ to its standard unit, $z_{j}$, by setting

$$
z_{j}=\frac{x_{j}-\bar{x}}{S D_{x}}
$$

$\left(^{*}\right)\left|z_{j}\right|$ tells us how far $x_{j}$ is from $\bar{x}$ as a multiple of $S D_{x}$.
$\left(^{*}\right)$ If $z_{j}>0$, then $x_{j}$ is above average; if $z_{j}<0$, then $x_{j}$ is below average.
(*) Standard units are 'pure numbers': there are no units of measurement (inches, dollars, etc.) associated with standard units.
$\Rightarrow$ The units of the $x_{j}$ s are cancelled when we divide $x_{j}-\bar{x}$ by $S D_{x}$.
$\left(^{*}\right)$ The standard unit value $z_{j}$ of a given datum $x_{j}$ is also called the $z$-score of $x_{j}$.

Example. Suppose that the average January temperature in Podunk is $45^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$, with an SD of $2^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$, while in Whoville the average January temperature is $25^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ with an SD of $5^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. On January 20th, the temperature in Whoville was $16^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ and in Podunk it was $38^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$.

Where was the temperature more unusual that day?
We can answer this by converting the temperatures on January 20th in both towns to standard units:

$$
z_{p}=\frac{38-45}{2}=-3.5 \quad \text { and } \quad z_{w}=\frac{16-25}{5}=-1.8
$$

(*) Both temperatures were below average.
$\left(^{*}\right)$ The $z$-score for Podunk is more negative than the $z$-score for Whoville, so from a statistical point of view the temperature in Podunk was more unusual that day (unusual for Podunk, that is).

Intuition: The larger $\left|z_{j}\right|$, the more unusual $x_{j}$ is (in the set of data it came from).

Observation. Converting any set of data, $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ with average $\bar{x}$ and standard deviation $S D_{x}=s$, to standard units produces a set of numbers $\left\{z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n}\right\}$ with average $\bar{z}=0$ and standard deviation $S D_{z}=1$.

This follows from the technical observation at the beginning of class today...

$$
z_{j}=\frac{x_{j}-\bar{x}}{S D_{x}}=\frac{1}{S D_{x}} \cdot x_{j}-\frac{\bar{x}}{S D_{x}}
$$

(i.e., $a=1 / S D_{x}$ and $b=\bar{x} / S D_{x}$ ) so

$$
\bar{z}=\frac{1}{S D_{x}} \cdot \bar{x}-\frac{\bar{x}}{S D_{x}}=0
$$

and

$$
S D_{z}=\frac{1}{S D_{x}} \cdot S D_{x}=1
$$

## The normal approximation, I

- Different sets of data may be seen to have very similar distributions, once they have been converted to standard units.
- Converting to standard units moves the center of the histogram (the average of the data) to 0 , and scales the data as a whole so that one SD is converted to 1 unit.
- In many cases, the histogram of the data, once converted to standard units, takes on a somewhat bell-shaped form - the form of the normal curve.
- The normal curve is the graph of the function

$$
y=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{-z^{2} / 2}
$$

(where $e=2.7182818 \ldots$...


The normal curve is symmetric around the line $z=0$, and the total area under the curve is equal to 1 (or $100 \%$, if you prefer).

Example: The distribution of heights of women age 18 and over in HANES5 (Health and Nutrition Examination Study, '03 - '04) appears in the histogram below (from page 81 in chapter 5 of FPP).


Statistics, Fourth Edition
Copyright © 2007 W. W. Norton \& Co., Inc.
The average height is 63.5 and the SD is about 3 . The shaded region represents the heights that fall within one SD of average.

To see how well the distribution of the height data is approximated by the normal curve, we must convert the data to standard units and sketch the histogram for the 'standardized' (or normalized) data.

To save a lot of drawing time: observe that the conversion to standard units is just a rescaling. This means that instead of converting all of the heights to their standard units and then drawing a new histogram, we can instead change the horizontal and vertical scales on the original histogram.


| -4 | -3 | -2 | -1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | STANDARD UNITS |  |  |  |  |  |

Statistics, Fourth Edition Copyright © 2007 W. W. Norton \& Co., Inc.

- If the (rescaled) histogram is well-approximated by the normal curve, then area of regions under the histogram will be approximately equal to areas under the normal curve for the same range of standard units.
- I.e., the percentage of the data that lies within 1 SD of the average will be approximately equal to the area under the normal curve between -1 and 1 ; the percentage of the data lying within 2 SDs of the average will be approximately equal to the area under the normal curve between -2 and 2 ; and so forth.
- This is useful, because the distribution of the area under the normal curve is well-understood.

In particular...

(*) The area under the normal curve between -1 and 1 is $\approx 0.68=68 \%$.


${ }^{(*)}$ The area under the normal curve between -3 and 3 is $\approx 0.99=99 \%$.
'Rule of thumb':
If a set of data has an approximately normal distribution, then:

- About $68 \%$ of the data lies within one $S D$ of average;
- About $95 \%$ of the data lies within two SDs of average;
- About $99 \%$ of the data lies within three SDs of average;

Remember: This rule only applies to data that is (approximately) normally distributed! Absent that condition (or assumptions about how the data is distributed) we rely on weaker (but more general) estimates (like Chebyshev's inequality).

To calculate areas under the normal curve for regions other than those above ( -1 to $1,-2$ to 2 and -3 to 3 ), we use a normal table, like the one found in the back of the textbook.

## A normal table



A NORMAL TABLE

| $z$ | Height | Area | $z$ | Height | Area | $z$ | Height | Area |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.00 | 39.89 | 0 | 1.50 | 12.95 | 86.64 | 3.00 | 0.443 | 99.730 |
| 0.05 | 39.84 | 3.99 | 1.55 | 12.00 | 87.89 | 3.05 | 0.381 | 99.771 |
| 0.10 | 39.69 | 7.97 | 1.60 | 11.09 | 89.04 | 3.10 | 0.327 | 99.806 |
| 0.15 | 39.45 | 11.92 | 1.65 | 10.23 | 90.11 | 3.15 | 0.279 | 99.837 |
| 0.20 | 39.10 | 15.85 | 1.70 | 9.40 | 91.09 | 3.20 | 0.238 | 99.863 |
| 0.25 | 38.67 | 19.74 | 1.75 | 8.63 | 91.99 | 3.25 | 0.203 | 99.885 |
| 0.30 | 38.14 | 23.58 | 1.80 | 7.90 | 92.81 | 3.30 | 0.172 | 99.903 |
| 0.35 | 37.52 | 27.37 | 1.85 | 7.21 | 93.57 | 3.35 | 0.146 | 99.919 |
| 0.40 | 36.83 | 31.08 | 1.90 | 6.56 | 94.26 | 3.40 | 0.123 | 99.933 |
| 0.45 | 36.05 | 34.73 | 1.95 | 5.96 | 94.88 | 3.45 | 0.104 | 99.944 |


| 0.50 | 35.21 | 38.29 | 2.00 | 5.40 | 95.45 | 3.50 | 0.087 | 99.953 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.55 | 34.29 | 41.77 | 2.05 | 4.88 | 95.96 | 3.55 | 0.073 | 99.961 |
| 0.60 | 33.32 | 45.15 | 2.10 | 4.40 | 96.43 | 3.60 | 0.061 | 99.968 |
| 0.65 | 32.30 | 48.43 | 2.15 | 3.96 | 96.84 | 3.65 | 0.051 | 99.974 |
| 0.70 | 31.23 | 51.61 | 2.20 | 3.55 | 97.22 | 3.70 | 0.042 | 99.978 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.75 | 30.11 | 54.67 | 2.25 | 3.17 | 97.56 | 3.75 | 0.035 | 99.982 |
| 0.80 | 28.97 | 57.63 | 2.30 | 2.83 | 97.86 | 3.80 | 0.029 | 99.986 |
| 0.85 | 27.80 | 60.47 | 2.35 | 2.52 | 98.12 | 3.85 | 0.024 | 99.988 |
| 0.90 | 26.61 | 63.19 | 2.40 | 2.24 | 98.36 | 3.90 | 0.020 | 99.990 |
| 0.95 | 25.41 | 65.79 | 2.45 | 1.98 | 98.57 | 3.95 | 0.016 | 99.992 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.00 | 24.20 | 68.27 | 2.50 | 1.75 | 98.76 | 4.00 | 0.013 | 99.9937 |
| 1.05 | 22.99 | 70.63 | 2.55 | 1.54 | 98.92 | 4.05 | 0.011 | 99.9949 |
| 1.10 | 21.79 | 72.87 | 2.60 | 1.36 | 99.07 | 4.10 | 0.009 | 99.9959 |
| 1.15 | 20.59 | 74.99 | 2.65 | 1.19 | 99.20 | 4.15 | 0.007 | 99.9967 |
| 1.20 | 19.42 | 76.99 | 2.70 | 1.04 | 99.31 | 4.20 | 0.006 | 99.9973 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.25 | 18.26 | 78.87 | 2.75 | 0.91 | 99.40 | 4.25 | 0.005 | 99.9979 |
| 1.30 | 17.14 | 80.64 | 2.80 | 0.79 | 99.49 | 4.30 | 0.004 | 99.9983 |
| 1.35 | 16.04 | 82.30 | 2.85 | 9.69 | 99.56 | 4.35 | 0.003 | 99.9986 |
| 1.40 | 14.97 | 83.85 | 2.90 | 0.60 | 99.63 | 4.40 | 0.002 | 99.9989 |
| 1.45 | 13.94 | 85.29 | 2.95 | 0.51 | 99.68 | 4.45 | 0.002 | 99.9991 |

## Using the normal table

(i) The table in the appendix gives the areas for symmetric regions $-z_{0} \leq z \leq z_{0}$ (as percentages), where $0 \leq z_{0} \leq 4.45$. If $z_{0} \geq 4.50$, you can assume that the corresponding area is $99.9999 \%$.

Example: Suppose that the heights of men aged $25-35$ in a certain city are distributed (approximately) normally with an average of 67 inches and a standard deviation of 2.5 inches.

What percentage of these men are between 65 and 69 inches tall?
$a$. A height of 65 inches corresponds to $\frac{65-67}{2.5}=-0.8$ standard units, and 69 inches corresponds to $\frac{69-67}{2.5}=0.8$ standard units.
$b$. The percentage we want is (approximately) equal to the area under the normal curve between -0.8 and 0.8 which is equal to the table entry for $z_{0}=0.8$, which is $57.63 \%$.
(ii) The normal curve is symmetric around $z=\mathbf{0}$ so the area under the curve between 0 and $z_{0}$ is equal to the area under the curve between $-z_{0}$ and 0 , and both are equal to exactly one half the table entry for $z_{0}$.



Example. What percentage of the men in the previous example are between 67 and 70 inches tall?
a. 67 inches is average which corresponds to 0 standard units and 70 inches corresponds to $\frac{70-67}{2.5}=1.2$ standard units.
$b$. The percentage we want is (approximately) equal to the area under the normal curve between 0 and 1.2 which is equal to half the table entry for $z_{0}=1.2$. This is $76.99 / 2 \% \approx 38.5 \%$.
(iii) If $z_{0}>0$, then the area under the normal curve to the left of $z_{0}$ is equal to $50 \%$ plus half the table entry for $z_{0}$, because...




Example. What percentage of the men are shorter than 72 inches?
72 inches corresponds to $\frac{72-67}{2.5}=2$, so the percentage of men who are shorter than 72 inches is (approximately) $50 \%+\frac{68 \%}{2}=84 \%$.
(iv) If $z_{0}>0$, then then area under the normal curve to the right of $z_{0}$ is equal to $50 \%$ - half the table entry for $z_{0}$, because


Example. What percentage of the men are taller than 68 inches?
68 inches corresponds to $\frac{68-67}{2.5}=0.4$, so the percentage of men who are more than 68 inches tall is (approximately) $50 \%-\frac{31.08 \%}{2}=34.46 \%$.
(*) The areas of other types of regions under the normal curve can be calculated from the table by using (i) - (iv) and the symmetry of the normal curve around 0 . For example, if $0<z_{0}<z_{1}$, then the area under the normal curve between $z_{0}$ and $z_{1}$ is

$$
=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Table}\left(z_{1}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Table}\left(z_{0}\right)
$$

because




